

Urban Forestry Council Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Pursuant to Ordinance 0017-06 and Public Works Code Section 810, the UFC has developed these criteria for evaluating potential landmark trees in San Francisco. When evaluating or considering potential landmark trees, please consider the context of the tree within its site location. For example, a tree on PUC land may not have the same community importance that a street or park tree would. Use comment sections, as appropriate, to explain or support evaluation. Attach sheets if more space is needed.

Evaluator's name: J. MALCOLM HILLAN
Date of evaluation: 4.25.2011
Scientific name: SEQUOIA SEMPERVIRENS
Common name: COAST REDWOOD
Street address: 774 CAYUGA AVE.
Cross streets: SAN JUAN ; SANTA ROSA

Rarity Yes Partially No
Rarity: Rare Uncommon Common Other
Unusual species in San Francisco or other geographic regions.
Comment: NO COMMENT

Physical Attributes Yes Partially No
Size: Large Medium Small
Notable size compared to other trees of the same species in San Francisco.
Comment: LARGE AT THE BUTT, UNUSUALLY SMALL AT THE CROWN (SHORT FOR SP.)

Age: Yes No
Significantly advanced age for the species.
Comment: NO COMMENT

Distinguished form: Yes No
Tree is an example of good form for its species, has a majestic quality or otherwise unique structure.
Describe: UNFORTUNATELY, IT IS UNUSUALLY POOR IN FORM, DISTINGUISHED BY ITS DISFIGUREMENT.

Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

2

Tree condition: ___ Good Poor ___ Hazard

Consider overall tree health and structure, and whether or not tree poses a hazard

Describe: HEALTHY, BUT POOR STRUCTURE

Historical ___ Yes ___ Partially No

Historical Association: ___ Yes None apparent

Related to a historic or cultural building, site, street, person, event, etc.

Describe nature of appreciation: _____

Profiled in a publication or other media: ___ Yes Unknown

Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. Attach documentation if appropriate.

Describe coverage: _____

Environmental ___ Yes ___ Partially No

Prominent landscape feature: ___ Yes No

A striking and outstanding natural feature.

Describe, attach photo if possible: NO COMMENT

Low tree density: Low ___ Moderate ___ High

Tree exists in a neighborhood with very few trees.

Describe: NOT MANY TREES

IN NEIGHBORHOOD

Interdependent group of trees: ___ Yes No

This tree in an integral member of a group of trees and removing it may have an adverse impact on adjacent trees.

Describe: NO COMMENT

Visible or Accessible from public right-of-way: ___ Yes No

High visibility and/or accessibility from public property.

Describe: NOT VISIBLE TO PUBLIC

Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

3

High traffic area: Yes No

Tree is located in an area that has a high volume of vehicle, pedestrian or bike traffic and has a potential traffic calming effect.

Describe: NO COMMENT

Important wildlife habitat: Yes No

Species has a known relationship with a particular local wildlife species or it provides food, shelter, or nesting to specific known wildlife individuals.

APPEARS TO HAVE POTENTIAL
BUT NONE REPORTED OF ANY SIGNIFICANCE

Erosion control: Yes No

Tree prevents soil erosion.

Describe: _____

NO COMMENT

Wind or sound barrier: Yes No

Tree reduces wind speed or mitigates undesirable noise.

Describe: UNPROBATEDLY SOME EFFECT;

LIMITED

Cultural Yes Partially No

Neighborhood appreciation: Yes None apparent

Multiple indicators such as letters of support, petition, outdoor gatherings, celebrations adjacent or related to tree, etc. Attach documentation:

Describe: _____

NO COMMENT

Cultural appreciation: Yes None apparent

Particular value to certain cultural or ethnic groups in the city.

Describe nature of appreciation: _____

NO COMMENT

Planting contributes to neighborhood character: Yes No

Tree contributes significantly to, or represents, neighborhood aesthetic.

Describe contribution: _____

NOT PARTICULARLY

Urban Forestry Council
Landmark Tree Evaluation Form and Criteria

Profiled in a publication or other media: Yes Unknown
Tree has received coverage in print, internet, video media, etc. Attach documentation if appropriate.
Describe coverage: _____

NO COMMENT

Prominent landscape feature: Yes No
A striking and outstanding natural feature.
Describe, attach photo if possible: DOMINATES GARDEN
AND ADJACENT GARDEN.

Additional comments

SAD, SAVAGED REDWOOD; TOPPED
AND WINDSHEARED.
NOT LANDMARK WORTHY.

UNIQUE PRESENCE AND CHARACTER!

ENJOY FOR WHAT IT IS!